

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/546,624	11/01/2005	Manfred Walter	DNAG-308	4401
24972 7590 090012010 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 666 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER	
			SCHIRO, RYAN RAYMOND	
NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyipdocket@fulbright.com

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/546.624 WALTER ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit RYAN SCHIRO 1711 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on June 14, 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 87-116 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 87-116 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information-Displaceure-Statement(e) (FTO/SS/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1711

### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 87 was amended in the response dated June 14, 2010. 87-116 are pending and presented for examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459
   (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/546,624 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 87-116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al.
 (US 2001/0031811) in view of Jung et al. (US 6875479) further in view of Jacob (US (5053081).

Li teaches a durable coating consisting of a silane monomer mixture, water-soluble 4. organic polymer, surfactant, aliphatic alcohol, acid and water, as required by claim 87 and 111 (abstract). The coating composition may also contain fluorinated silane(s), as required by claim 87 and 111 (abstract). A hydrolyzable silane essentially free of flourine taught by Li is preferably glycidoxyalkylalkoxysilane, as required by claim 88 and 89 (0020). Li also teaches that one of the silane monomers is preferably the specific composition gamma-glyciloxypropyltrimethoxysilane, as required by claim 90 (0022). The fluorine-containing silane may be a trifluoroacetoxypropyl tri(C1-C2)alkoxysilane, as required by claims 91 and 92 (0025). Li teaches the use of a surfactant as a component in the coating composition, as required by claim 94 (0030). Water soluble polymers that may be used for the synthetic resin mixture include polyvinyls, polyacrylates, and, particularly, polyvinyl pyrrolidones, as required by claims 95 and 96 (0028-0029). Li teaches a binding amount of said water-soluble polymer, which is about 1 to 8 weight percent, as required by the limitations of claims 87, 98 and 111 (0027). The total silane content of the composition is preferably 20-45 weight percent and the flourinated silane content is preferably 1-10 weight percent of the total composition, as required by claims 87, 99, 100 and 111 (0020, 0025). Li teaches cleaning the substrate surface, applying the coating using conventional methods such as spray coating, rolling, dipping, and flow coating, and finally drying and curing the film, as required by claims 87, 105, and 108 (0038-0040).

Art Unit: 1711

5. Li does not teach that the substrate is metal, the coating composition is free of chromate compounds, the thickness of the film formed, including inorganic particles, including lubricant, including a defoamer, coating material on top of the formed film, an amine of a specific compositon for stabilizing the solution or a polymer containing a phosphorous vinyl compound, as required by claims 87, 93, 94, 102-104, 106, 109, 110, 115 and 116.

Page 4

Jung is drawn to a method for coating a metal surface with an aqueous composition and 6 an aqueous composition characterized in that the composition contains water, an organic film former, inorganic particles having an average particle diameter from 0.005-0.3 micrometers, a corrosion inhibitor, and optionally at least one silane, as required by claims 87, 94 and 111 (abstract). The finished layer thickness is from 0.01-10 micrometers, as required by claim 87 and 111 (abstract). Also, the aqueous composition may be largely or entirely free from chromium (VI) compounds, as required by claim 87 (col. 2, lines 43-44). The silane can be: a bisaminosilane with at least one alkoxysilane, a vinylsilane, an amino alkyl silane, or the like, as required by claims 87, 93 and 111 (col. 6, lines 27-51). The acid groups of the synthetic resin are stabilized with ammonia, amines or an alkali metal compound, as required by claim 97 (col. 7-8, lines 66-3). The amine used to stabilize the acid groups of the synthetic resin may be morpholine, dimethylethanolamine, diethylethanolamine or triethylanolamine, as required by claim 116 (col. 8, lines 1-3). An inorganic compound in particle form as a finely divided powder, a dispersion or a suspension, such as carbonate, oxide, silicate or sulfate is added as colloidal or amorphous particles based on at least one compound of aluminum, barium, cerium, calcium, lanthanum, silicon, titanium, yttrium, zinc and/or zirconium, as required by claims 102 and 103 (col. 6, lines 60-67). The wax required by Jung can be a paraffin, polyethylene and

Art Unit: 1711

polypropylene, in particular an oxidised wax, the amount of waxes in the composition being preferably in the range of 2-30 weight percent, as required by claim 104 (col. 7, lines 33-51). The coating composition may also contain at least one biocide, a defoaming agent and/or a wetting agent, as required by claim 106 (col. 9, lines 35-37). The coated metal surface of Jung may be dried at a temperature within the range from 20-400 degrees Celsius, as required by claim 107 (col. 10, lines 7-8). Onto the partially or entirely dried or cured film in each instance at least one coating consisting of lacquer, polymer, adhesive film, or the like is applied, as required by claim 109 (col. 10, lines 46-52). Metal strips or strip sections may be formed, painted and coated with polymers such as PVC for example, printed on, pasted over, sweated, welded and/or connected to one another or with other elements by clinching or other joining techniques, as required by claim 110 (col. 10, lines 53-58). The part having a metallic surface coated with the aqueous composition may be a wire, a wire winding, a wire netting, a steel strip, etc., as required by claim 112 (col. 11, lines 20-32). The coating is suitable for applying after a preceeding coating which is applied for corrosion protection, as required by claims 113 and 114 (col. 13, lines 14-21). The organic film forming agent may contain synthetic resin and/or polymer based on epoxide, phenol, polyacrylate, polyvinyl alcohol, polyurethane, etc. and in particular copolymers with a phosphorous-containing vinvl compound, as required by claims 96 and 115 (col. 5, lines 10-22).

- Neither Li nor Jung teach including a metal chelate in the coating composition, as required by claims 87, 101 and 111.
- 8. Jacob teaches a final rinse composition for enhancing the coating adhesion and corrosion resistance properties of coated metal surfaces, comprising an aqueous solution of an organosilane and a titanium chelate, as required by claims 97 and 111 (abstract). It is an object of Jacob to be a

Page 6

Art Unit: 1711

chromium-free solution (col. 3, lines 41-42). The titanium chelate species includes a complex with an acetylacetonate ligand attached, as required by claim 101 (col. 4, lines 42-44).

- 9. It would have been obvious to a person ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the composition containing a mixture of fluorinated and fluorine-free silanes for plastic substrates as taught by Li, with the silane coating composition of Jung specifically formulated for metal substrates. Jacob teaches that organofunctional silanes may be used as adhesion promoters to enhance the bonding polymeric coatings to metal, glass, or polymeric surfaces (col. 1, lines 57-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to use the composition of Li on a metal substrate or with another similar composition that is formulated for metal substrates. One would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Li and Jung because the high reactivity of the flouro groups used in Li would be useful in the teaching of Jung to obtain a coating that would better adhere to the substrate and subsequent coating layers.
- 10. It would have been obvious to a person ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the use of a metal chelate in the silane coating composition, as taught by Jacob, with the teachings of a flourinated silane containing composition that is specifically formulated for metal surfaces, as taught by Li in view of Jung, as required by claims 87, 101 and 111. One would have been motivated to make this combination because Jacob is drawn to making a composition that contains no chromium yet is comparable to a chromium containing composition, while Jung is drawn to making a composition that contains as little chromium as possible due to environmental concerns.

#### RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

Applicant's amendment filed June 14, 2010 has overcome the 112 rejection.

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant's arguments filed June 14, 2010 in response to the 103 rejection of Li in view of Jung further in view of Jacob have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Li, Jung and Jacob are nonanalogous art and are classified in different classes, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the Li reference, which relates to applying the polymer to plastics, and Jung reference, which teaches applying the polymer to a metal, are linked using the Jacob reference, which teaches applying to both metal and plastic, among other substrates. Furthermore, classification searches are merely one aspect of the searching process.

#### Conclusion

Claims 87-116 are rejected.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1711

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ryan Schiro whose telephone number is 571-270-5345. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Michael Barr can be reached at 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Schiro Art unit 1792

/Michael Barr/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1711